

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,597	10/12/2001	William M. Fries	70683	3277
22242	7590 04/12/2004		EXAM	INER
1110112.13	N TABIN AND FLAI	THORNTON, KRISANNE MARIE		
	A SALLE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b>SUITE 1600</b>			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/976,597	FRIES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton)	1744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b . reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication, ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	9 January 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-38</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-38</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2002 is  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli priority documents have been rec reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/2002.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma (08) 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/976,597

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the information pertaining to related applications at the beginning of the specification is incomplete and should be updated with application numbers and the current status.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 28-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Woo et al., U.S. patent No. 6,596,230 B1.

Woo et al., teach a disposable, flexible and substantially flat light treatment chamber, transmissible to light in Applicant's claimed wavelength range. The chamber is formed having input and output ports to facilitate flow therethrough, is supported by restraining means and is formed of materials as claimed by Applicant, such as FEP. See specifically Fig. 2 and column 8, lines 49-65.

Art Unit: 1744

Claims 28-29, 32 and 36-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Vizzini et al., U.S. patent No. 3,683,183.

See particularly column 6, line 52 through column 7, line 6.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/976,597

Art Unit: 1744

Claims 28-31 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolf, Jr. et al., U.S. patent No. 5,290,221.

Wolf, Jr. et al., teach the use of a flexible, light-transmissive, treatment chamber restrained by solid supports and having input and output ports for the flow of fluid therethrough (see Fig 16 or 20 and column 9, lines 35-68). Wolf, Jr. et al., do not specifically recite that the chamber is disposable, however, it is well held in the treatment of blood products, that single use items are essential to minimize cross-contamination and as such, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to form the treatment chamber of Wolf, Jr. et al., as a disposable, single use item.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton) whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,597.

Art Unit: 1744

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

. .. - - ,.

Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly

Thornton)

Primary Éxaminer Art Unit 1744

April 5, 2004